Poster 29-P

Outcomes with finerenone in patients with chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes by baseline insulin resistance: A FIDELITY subgroup analysis Thomas Ebert,¹ Stefan D. Anker,² Luis M. Ruilope,^{3–5} Paola Fioretto,⁶ Vivian Fonseca,⁷ Guillermo Umpierrez,⁸ Andreas L. Birkenfeld,^{9,10} Robert Lawatscheck,¹¹ Charlie Scott,¹² Katja Rohwedder,¹³ Peter Rossing,^{14,15} on behalf of the FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD Investigators

1 Cardiology, CVK) of German Centre for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory and Hypertension Unit, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; ³ Cardiorenal Translational Laboratory, Institute of Research (DZHK) partner Site Berlin, Be imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ⁹Department of Diabetology, Emory University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA; ⁹Department of Diabetology, Emory University of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University School of Medicine, University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁴CIBER-CV, Hospital University of Padua, Italy; ⁷Tulane University of Sport Sciences, European University School of Medicine, University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University School of University Clinic, imas12, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas14, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas14, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas14, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, European University Clinic, imas14, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, imas14, Madrid, Spain; ⁶Department of Sport Sciences, imas14, ¹Department of Sport Science, imas14, ¹Department of Sport Science, imas14 12 Data Science and Analytics, Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany; 14 Steno Diabetes Research (DZD); 11 Clinical Research, Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany; 14 Steno Diabetes Research, Bayer AG, Bayer AG, Bayer AG, Bayer AG, Bayer B University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Professor Thomas Ebert • University of Leipzig Medical Center • thomas.ebert@medizin.uni-leipzig.de

1. Introduction

- Insulin resistance is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes (T2D), cardiovascular (CV) disease, and chronic kidney disease (CKD)¹⁻³
- Finerenone (a nonsteroidal mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist) improved cardiorenal outcomes in a broad population of patients with CKD and T2D in the FIDELITY prespecified pooled analysis⁴ of the FIDELIO-DKD⁵ and FIGARO-DKD⁶ studies
- The aim of this post hoc analysis was to explore whether insulin resistance, measured by estimated glucose disposal rate (eGDR), is associated with increased risk of cardiorenal outcomes and if insulin resistance modifies the cardiorenal efficacy of finerenone

2. Methods

- This analysis combines individual patient-level data from the FIDELIO-DKD (NCT02540993) and FIGARO-DKD (NCT02545049) phase III clinical trials. The designs and results of these studies have been published previously^{5,6}
- Study design, efficacy outcomes, and inclusion/exclusion criteria for FIDELITY are shown in Figure 1
- Insulin resistance was estimated using eGDR (an inverse marker of insulin resistance) and was calculated as follows: 21.158 + (-0.09 × waist circumference [cm]) + (-3.407 × presence of hypertension) + $(-0.551 \times HbA1c [\%])$
- Lower eGDR is associated with greater insulin resistance and an increased risk of CV disease and progression to end-stage kidney disease versus higher eGDR (insulin sensitive)¹⁻³
- Composite outcomes were analyzed by defined categorical subgroups: <median eGDR and ≥median eGDR at baseline

Figure 1. Study design and patient population



CV, cardiovascular; DKD, diabetic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; IQR, interquartile range; [K⁺], potassium concentration; NYHA, New York Heart Association; od, once daily; RAS, renin–angiotensin system; T2D, type 2 diabetes; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio

3. Results

- Analysis by continuous baseline eGDR showed a significantly lower risk of CV events at 3.5 years • Among 12,964 patients included in the analysis, 6485 (50%) patients received finerenone treatment with increasing eGDR (hazard ratio [HR]=0.88 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.86–0.91]; p<0.01), and 6479 (50%) received placebo whereas baseline eGDR was not associated with the incidence of kidney outcomes (Figure 2)
- Median baseline eGDR was 4.1 mg/kg/min; 6484 (50%) patients had an eGDR < median (with insulin resistance) and 6480 (50%) had an eGDR ≥median (without insulin resistance) (**Table 1**)
- Overall, baseline characteristics were well balanced between groups, with some notable differences. Patients with an eGDR < median had a longer mean duration of diabetes, and higher median urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio and mean weight, compared with patients with an eGDR ≥median.

Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics according to insulin resistance at baseline

	eGDR at baseline				visceral adiposity index, and lipid accumulation product index)							
Baseline Characteristic	eGDR <median< th=""><th colspan="2">eGDR ≥median</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></median<>		eGDR ≥median									
	Finerenone (n=3247)	Placebo (n=3237)	Finerenone (n=3238)	Placebo (n=3242)	Figure 2. CV and kidney composite outcomes by cor					tinuous variable eGDR		
Age, years, mean	64.5	64.6	64.9	65	A.	CV compos	site outcome	B.	≥5	57% kidney o	composite outco	me
Sex, female, n (%)	926 (28.5)	897 (27.7)	1096 (33.8)	992 (30.6)	× 301			8 30	0 -			
Duration of diabetes, years, mean ± SD	16.2 ± 8.6	16.1 ± 8.5	14.7 ± 8.8	15.0 ± 8.8	127			12 30 12 30 12 30	5			
HbA1c, %, mean ± SD	8.2 ± 1.4	8.2 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.1	7.2 ± 1.1								
BMI, kg/m ² , mean ± SD	34.6 ± 5.7	34.6 ± 5.6	28.1 ± 4.4	28.0 ± 4.3	20 15			t at	5			
Weight, kg, mean ± SD	99.1 ± 18.7	99.3 ± 18.7	76.9 ± 14.8	77.0 ± 14.1								
SBP, mmHg, mean ± SD	138.5 ± 14.0	138.1 ± 13.9	135.1 ± 14.1	135.3 ± 14.5				ر کر م	5			
History of CV disease, n (%)	1565 (48.2)	1615 (49.9)	1396 (43.1)	1331 (41.1)				abilit				
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ² , mean ± SD	57.7 ± 21.9	57.5 ± 21.9	57.4 ± 21.3	57.8 ± 21.6		2 Baseline	4 6 eGDR (%)	8 Q 0	0	2 Baselir	4 ne eGDR (%)	5
UACR, mg/g, median	529.7	542.8	494	492		Pointwise 95% cor	nfidence interval fo	or finerenone	Pointwise	e 95% confide	ence interval for p	lacebo
Serum potassium, mmol/L, mean ± SD	4.4 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 0.5		- Fitted curve for fine	erenone		Fitted cur	rve for placeb	00	
Baseline medications, n (%)					CV, cardiova	scular; eGDR, estimated	d glucose disposal ra	te				
ACE inhibitors	1483 (45.7)	1516 (46.8)	1290 (39.8)	1315 (40.6)	Figure 3	. CV and kidney	/ outcomes by	baseline eGDR	X			
ARBs	2015 (62.1)	2045 (63.2)	2173 (67.1)	2179 (67.2)			eGDR a	t baseline				
Beta blockers	2226 (68.6)	2241 (69.2)	1584 (48.9)	1665 (51.4)	Baselin	e characteristic	Finerenone	Placeho				
Diuretics	2446 (75.3)	2495 (77.1)	1809 (55.9)	1873 (57.8)			n/N (n/100 PY)	n/N (n/100 PY)			HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value fo interactio
Statins	2672 (82.3)	2681 (82.8)	2448 (75.6)	2498 (77.1)		CV composite ou	utcome					
Potassium supplements	337 (10.4)	376 (11.6)	230 (7.1)	289 (8.9)		Overall	825/6519 (4 34)	939/6507 (5.01)	К	∕н	0 86 (0 78_0 94	5)
Potassium-lowering agents	245 (7.5)	142 (4.4)	281 (8.7)	197 (6.1)	X		400/0017 (F.40)	53370007 (0.01)				5)
Glucose-lowering therapies, n (%)						eGDR <median< td=""><td>480/3247 (5.18)</td><td>57773237 (0.34)</td><td>F</td><td></td><td>0.82 (0.72–0.92</td><td>2) 0.29</td></median<>	480/3247 (5.18)	57773237 (0.34)	F		0.82 (0.72–0.92	2) 0.29
Insulin and analogs	2298 (70.8)	2229 (68.9)	1551 (47.9)	1519 (46.9)		eGDR ≥median	332/3238 (3.47)	359/3242 (3.76)			0.91 (0.78–1.06	6)
Sulfonylureas	769 (23.7)	760 (23.5)	914 (28.2)	933 (28.8)		Kidney composit	te outcome					
DPP-4 inhibitors	704 (21.7)	698 (21.6)	951 (29.4)	909 (28.0)		Overall	360/6519 (1.96)	465/6507 (2.55)	\vdash	-	0.77 (0.67–0.88	3)
GLP-1RAs	356 (11.0)	300 (9.3)	137 (4.2)	144 (4.4)		eGDR <median< td=""><td>179/3247 (1.96)</td><td>208/3237 (2.31)</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.85 (0.70–1.04</td><td>4)</td></median<>	179/3247 (1.96)	208/3237 (2.31)			0.85 (0.70–1.04	4)
SGLT-2 inhibitors	275 (8.5)	268 (8.3)	162 (5.0)	170 (5.2)		eGDR ≥median	180/3238 (1.97)	257/3242 (2.82)			0.69 (0.57–0.84	4)
ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensi peptidase-4; eGDR, estimated glucose disposal rate; e	n receptor blocker; BM GFR, estimated glome	II, body mass index; CV erular filtration rate; GL	V, cardiovascular; DPP P-1RA; glucagon-like p	-4; dipeptidyl peptide-1 receptor				0.25 Favors f	0.50	1.00 2.00 e Favors p	4.00 lacebo	

agonist; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; SGLT-2, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio

3.1. Efficacy outcomes

- Similar trends were observed when considering baseline eGDR subgroups, where eGDR <median was associated with a higher incidence rate (IR) of the CV composite outcome versus</pre> eGDR ≥median (IR per 100 patient-years 5.18 and 3.47 in the finerenone group and 6.34 vs 3.76 in the placebo group, respectively); the IR of the kidney composite outcome was similar across eGDR subgroups (Figure 3)
- There was no significant heterogeneity for the effect of finerenone by baseline eGDR on the CV outcomes or kidney outcomes (**Figure 3**)
- Consistent strength and direction of the associations were observed across sensitivity analyses using alternative measures of insulin resistance (baseline triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein ratio,





CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; eGDR, estimated glucose disposal rate; HR, hazard ratio; PY, patient-years







3.1. Safety outcomes

- Overall, the incidences of treatment-emergent adverse events and severe adverse events were balanced between the finerenone and placebo groups and between eGDR subgroups (**Table 2**)
- The incidence of investigator-reported, treatment-emergent hyperkalemia was higher in patients treated with finerenone versus placebo in both eGDR subgroups. However, hyperkalemia leading to discontinuation was low in the finerenone treatment group, with no notable differences between eGDR subgroups (eGDR <median: 1.9%; eGDR ≥median: 1.5%)

Table 2. Safety outcomes according to insulin resistance at baseline (safety analysis set)

eGDR at baseline											
eGDR <	median	eGDR ≥median									
Finerenone (n=3242)	Placebo (n=3228)	Finerenone (n=3235)	Placebo (n=3234)								
2823 (87.1)	2801 (86.8)	2751 (85.0)	2781 (86.0)								
640 (19.7)	457 (14.2)	560 (17.3)	402 (12.4)								
236 (7.3)	170 (5.3)	176 (5.4)	180 (5.6)								
1107 (34.1)	1181 (36.6)	937 (29.0)	999 (30.9)								
46 (1.4)	32 (1.0)	36 (1.1)	29 (0.9)								
84 (2.6)	72 (2.2)	59 (1.8)	82 (2.5)								
55 (1.7)	83 (2.6)	54 (1.7)	68 (2.1)								
Treatment-emergent hyperkalemia events											
460 (14.2)	195 (6.0)	449 (13.9)	252 (7.8)								
286 (8.8)	107 (3.3)	285 (8.8)	142 (4.4)								
63 (1.9)	19 (0.6)	47 (1.5)	19 (0.6)								
36 (1.1)	11 (0.3)	32 (1.0)	5 (0.2)								
22 (0.7)	6 (0.2)	20 (0.6)	2 (<0.1)								
8 (0.2)	1 (<0.1)	2 (<0.1)	1 (<0.1)								
0	0	0	0								
	eGDR < Finerenone (n=3242) 2823 (87.1) 640 (19.7) 236 (7.3) 1107 (34.1) 46 (1.4) 84 (2.6) 55 (1.7) ents 460 (14.2) 286 (8.8) 63 (1.9) 36 (1.1) 22 (0.7) 8 (0.2) 0	eGDR ateGDR <median< th="">Finerenone (n=3242)Placebo (n=3228)$2823 (87.1)$$2801 (86.8)$$640 (19.7)$$457 (14.2)$$236 (7.3)$$170 (5.3)$$1107 (34.1)$$1181 (36.6)$$46 (1.4)$$32 (1.0)$$84 (2.6)$$72 (2.2)$$55 (1.7)$$83 (2.6)$ents$460 (14.2)$$195 (6.0)$$286 (8.8)$$107 (3.3)$$63 (1.9)$$19 (0.6)$$36 (1.1)$$11 (0.3)$$22 (0.7)$$6 (0.2)$$8 (0.2)$$1 (<0.1)$$0$$0$</median<>	eGDR at baselineeGDR <median< th="">eGDR ≥Finerenone (n=3242)Placebo (n=3228)Finerenone (n=3235)2823 (87.1)2801 (86.8)2751 (85.0)640 (19.7)457 (14.2)560 (17.3)236 (7.3)170 (5.3)176 (5.4)1107 (34.1)1181 (36.6)937 (29.0)46 (1.4)32 (1.0)36 (1.1)84 (2.6)72 (2.2)59 (1.8)55 (1.7)83 (2.6)54 (1.7)ents460 (14.2)195 (6.0)449 (13.9)286 (8.8)107 (3.3)285 (8.8)63 (1.9)19 (0.6)47 (1.5)36 (1.1)11 (0.3)32 (1.0)22 (0.7)6 (0.2)20 (0.6)8 (0.2)1 (<0.1)</median<>								

AE, adverse event: SAE, serious adverse event

4. Conclusions

- In this post hoc analysis of the FIDELITY prespecified pooled analysis, the efficacy and safety of finerenone were not modified by baseline insulin resistance
- A higher risk of CV outcomes, but not kidney outcomes, was observed in people with T2D and CKD with greater insulin resistance
- The safety profile of finerenone was generally consistent irrespective of baseline insulin resistance

Acknowledgments

Funded by Bayer AG; FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD clinicaltrials.gov number NCT02540993 and NCT02545049, respectively. Medical writing assistance was provided by Chameleon Communications International and was funded by Bayer AG

Disclosures

TE reports personal fees from Bayer, Fresenius Medical Care Deutschland, Sanofi, and Santis. He has received research support from the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes (EFSD Mentorship Programme supported by AstraZeneca). SA has received research support from Abbott Vascular and Vifor International, and personal fees from Abbott Vascular, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BRAHMS, Cardiac Dimensions, Impulse Dynamics, Novartis, Servier, and Vifor Pharma. LR reports receipt of consultancy fees from Bayer. PF has served as an advisory board member and speaker for AstraZeneca, Eli-Lilly, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, and Mundipharma VF has served as a paid consultant for Abbott, Asahi, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi. He has patent and ownership interests in BRAVO4Health GU has received research support (to Emory University) from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Abbott, and Dexcom Inc. AB reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringe Ingelheim, and Novo Nordisk during the conduct of the study; all fees are given to the University Clinic Tübingen. RL, CS, and KR are full-time employees of Bayer. PR reports personal fees from Bayer during the conduct of the study. He has received research support and personal fees from AstraZeneca and Novo Nordisk, an personal fees from Astellas Pharma, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Gilead, Mundipharma, Sanofi, and Vifor Pharma; all fees are given to Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen.

References

- 1. Whaley-Connell A, Sowers JR. *Cardiorenal Med* 2017;8:41–49.
- 2. Schrauben SJ, et al. BMC Nephrol 2019;20:60. 3. Nakashima A, et al. Nutrients 2021;13.
- 4. Agarwal R, et al. *Eur Heart J* 2022;43:474–484.
- 5. Bakris GL, et al. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2219–2229. 6. Pitt B, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:2252–2263.