Efficacy and safety of finerenone in patients with chronic kidney disease and type 2 diabetes by diuretic use: A FIDELITY analysis

Dr Robert Mentz (Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA) on behalf of Stefan D. Anker, Bertram Pitt, Peter Rossing, Luis M. Ruilope, Martin Gebel, Peter Kolkhof, Robert Lawatscheck, Katja Rohwedder, George L. Bakris, and the FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD investigators

28 August 2023



Hypertension and CKD are independent risk factors for CV disease¹

~85% of patients with CKD suffer from hypertension²



Patients with hypertension and CKD are at increased risk of CV morbidity and mortality¹ Thiazide and loop diuretics are commonly used to treat hypertension and volume overload in patients with CKD^{1,3}



Diuretics might cause [K⁺] loss, which is also associated with CV outcomes⁴



Diuretic requirement is a marker of disease severity,⁵ with potential to be a marker and mediator of adverse outcomes

Patients with CKD and T2D remain at risk of CKD disease progression and CV events despite blood pressure control^{1,6}

CKD, chronic kidney disease; CV, cardiovascular; [K⁺], potassium concentration; T2D, type 2 diabetes

1. Pugh D, et al. Drugs 2019;79:365–379; 2. Mutner P, et al. Am J Kidney Dis 2010;55(3):441–451; 3. Sinha AD & Agarwal R. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2019;14(5):757–764; 4. Collins AJ, et al Am J Nephrol 2017;46:213–221; 5. Khan YH, et al. PLoS One 2016;11: doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159335; 6. Chaudhuri A, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab 2022;42(3):365–376



Finerenone is a selective, non-steroidal MRA that has demonstrated CV and kidney benefits in patients with CKD and T2D¹⁻⁵

In the phase 3 finerenone trials FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD, finerenone significantly improved CV outcomes and slowed CKD progression in patients with CKD and T2D²⁻⁴











FIDELITY N=13.026* Prespecified pooled individual patient data analysis of FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD⁵



1:1 randomisation to **finerenone** 10 mg or 20 mg) or placebo

Median follow-up:

3 years

ESC Congress 2023 Amsterdam & Online

Key eligibility criteria

✓ CKD and T2D

✓ On single RASi

```
✓ Serum [K<sup>+</sup>] ≤4.8 mmol/l
```

X Symptomatic HFrEF



Key outcomes

CV composite:

Time to CV death, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke or HHF

≥57% eGFR kidney composite: Time to kidney failure, sustained ≥57% decrease in eGFR from

baseline or renal death

*Patients analysed. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HHF, hospitalisation for heart failure; MI, myocardial infarction; MR, mineralocorticoid receptor: MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist: UACR, urine albumin-creatinine ratio

1. Agarwal R, et al. Eur Heart J 2021;42:152-161; 2. Bakris GL, et al. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2219-2229; 3. Filippatos G, et al. Circulation 2021;143:540-552; 4. Pitt B, et al. N Engl J Med 2021;385:2252-2263; 5. Agarwal R, et al. Eur Heart J 2022;43:474-484



In this FIDELITY post hoc analysis, the efficacy and safety of finerenone was assessed in patients with and without diuretic use at baseline



Key composite CV outcome:

Time to CV death, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke or HHF

The composite CV outcome was analysed in the following subgroups of interest:

- Diuretic use (all, loop and thiazide diuretics)
- Diuretic doses (low vs high)
- eGFR (<60 vs ≥60 ml/min/1.73 m²)
- Race (Asian vs non-Asian)

Additional outcomes:

- Treatment-emergent hypokalaemia
- Safety outcomes (including hyperkalaemia)



Key methods

- Efficacy analyses were performed using a stratified cox proportional hazards model*
- An on-treatment sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess any fluctuations in diuretic use during the trial
- Safety outcomes were assessed by TEAEs, defined as any AE that started or worsened during treatment with the study drug[#]

*Stratification factors: Geographic region (North America, Latin America, Europe, Asia and others), eGFR category at screening (25–<45, 45–<60, and ≥60 ml/min/1.73 m²), albuminuria category at screening (moderately increased and severely increased), history of CV disease, and study (FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD); [#]including up to 3 days after any temporary or permanent discontinuation AE, adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event



Overall, 51.5% of patients were treated with diuretics at baseline

Baseline characteristics	With diuretics n=6710 (51.5%)	Without diuretics n=6316 (48.5%)	Baseline medication use (%)	With diuretics n=6710 (51.5%)	Without diuretics n=6316 (48.5%)
Age, years, mean	65.71	63.73	CV medications		
Gender, male, %	70.1	69.4	ACEi ARB	38.3 61.8	39.8 60.0
SBP, mmHg, mean	137.80	135.63	Statins	76.5	67.5
DBP, mmHg, mean	75.83	76.92	Potassium supplements	5.0	0.8
BMI, kg/m², mean	32.55	29.96	Diuretics		
Duration of diabetes, years, mean	16.09	14.66	Loop diuretics Thiazide diuretics	41.8 47.0	0 0
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ² , mean	54.01	61.38	Glucose-lowering agents		
UACR, mg/g, median	493	547	Insulin and analogues DPP-4i	62.8 23.6	54.1 26.8
Medical history (%) CV disease Heart failure Atrial fibrillation or flutter	49.2 10.1 11.1	41.7 5.3 5.7	GLP-1RA SGLT-2i Alpha glucosidase inhibitors	8.4 6.5 3.0	6.0 6.9 7.2

On-treatment analysis showed concomitant diuretic use with study treatment to be mainly constant throughout the study

ACEi, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DPP-4i, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SGLT-2i, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitor



Finerenone reduced the risk of the composite CV outcome in patients treated with and without diuretics

Composite CV outcome (time to CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or HHF)

	Finere	none	Place	bo		Hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value for
	n (%)	n/100 PY	n (%)	n/100 PY		(95% CI)	interaction
Diuretics							
Yes	493 (14.8)	5.2	576 (17.0)	6.0		0.86 (0.77–0.97)	0.95
No	332 (10.4)	3.5	363 (11.6)	4.0	⊢ ♦	0.86 (0.74–1.00)	
Loop diuretics							
Yes	262 (19.0)	7.0	321 (22.6)	8.7		0.83 (0.70–0.98)	0.43
No	563 (11.0)	3.7	618 (12.2)	4.1	⊢ ◆	0.89 (0.79–1.00)	
Thiazide diuretics							
Yes	203 (12.6)	4.3	224 (14.5)	4.9		0.90 (0.74–1.09)	0.82
No	622 (12.7)	4.4	715 (14.4)	5.0	0.86 (0.77–0.95)		
I confidence interval: DV nations woor				0,50	1,00	2,00	
SC Congress 202	23			Favou	rs finerenone Fa	avours placebo	Contener PDELID OND and REARD On D Tray programme and Miss
msterdam & Onlin	е						RESTRICTE

Finerenone reduced the risk of the composite CV outcome, irrespective of diuretic use or dose

Composite CV outcome (time to CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or HHF)

	Finerenone		Placebo			Hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value for
	n (%)	n/100 PY	n (%)	n/100 PY			incruction
No diuretics	332 (10.4)	3.5	363 (11.6)	4.0		0.86 (0.74–1.00)	
Low-dose* diuretics	286 (14.1)	4.9	333 (16.2)	5.8	⊢	0.84 (0.72–0.99)	0.88
High-dose [#] diuretics	207 (16.0)	5.7	243 (18.2)	6.4		0.90 (0.74–1.09)	
				0,50	1,00	2,00	
				Favours	finerenone Favours	placebo	

*Low dose: hydrochlorothiazide <12.5 mg, furosemide <40 mg, indapamide <2.5 mg, torasemide <10 mg, bendroflumethiazide <2.5 mg, chlortalidone <25 mg, metolazone <2.5 mg, trichlormethiazide <1 mg, azosemide <30 mg, bumetanide <1 mg. Diuretics not listed were considered low dose, irrespective of actual dosage; #high dose: hydrochlorothiazide >12.5 mg, furosemide >40 mg, indapamide ≥2.5 mg, torasemide >10 mg, bendroflumethiazide >2.5 mg, chlortalidone >25 mg, metolazone >2.5 mg, trichlormethiazide >1 mg, azosemide >30 mg, bumetanide >1 mg, azosemide >10 mg, bumetanide >1 mg





Finerenone reduced the risk of the composite CV outcome*in patients, irrespective of diuretic use and baseline eGFR or race

Composite CV outcome (time to CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or HHF)

Patient subgroup	Finere	inerenone Placebo				Hazard ratio	<i>p</i> -value for	
	n (%)	n/100 PY	n (%)	n/100 PY			(95% CI)	interaction
Diuretic use and eGFR*								
Diuretic and eGFR < 60	340 (15.5)	5.7	397 (17.5)	6.4	⊢�┤		0.87 (0.75–1.01)	1.00
Diuretic and eGFR ≥60	153 (13.6)	4.3	179 (16.1)	5.3			0.85 (0.68–1.06)	
No diuretic and eGFR <60	189 (11.0)	3.9	204 (12.5)	4.5			0.84 (0.69–1.03)	
No diuretic and eGFR ≥60	142 (9.6)	3.0	158 (10.7)	3.4			0.89 (0.71–1.12)	
Diuretic use and race								
Diuretic and Asian	33 (9.2)	3.1	49 (11.7)	4.0 -			0.80 (0.50–1.27)	0.83
Diuretic and non-Asian	460 (15.5)	5.5	527 (17.8)	6.3	⊢ ◆ ⊣		0.88 (0.77–0.99)	
No diuretic and Asian	89 (8.3)	2.7	90 (8.6)	2.8			0.94 (0.70–1.27)	
No diuretic and non-Asian	243 (11.5)	3.9	273 (13.1)	4.5			0.83 (0.70–0.99)	
				0,50	1,00	2,(00 _	
					finenene			

Similar trends were observed when the analysed patient cohort was split into loop diuretic and thiazide diuretic use subgroups

*Expressed in ml/min/1.73 m²



On-treatment sensitivity analysis: Incidence rate* of the composite CV outcome by diuretic use during the study was generally lower with finerenone vs placebo

Composite CV outcome (time to CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, or HHF)

Diuretic type	Planned treatment group	Concomitant diuretic use	n	Number of events	PY at risk	Estimated event rate per 100 PY (95% CI)
All diuretics	Finerenone	With	4128	432	8415.3	5.13 (4.66–5.64)
		Without	3766	188	8403.5	2.24 (1.93–2.58)
	Placebo	With	4264	553	8981.7	6.16 (5.65–6.69)
		Without	3577	205	7773.0	2.64 (2.29–3.02)



*Restricted to the on-treatment period



Finerenone reduced the risk of treatment-emergent hypokalaemia* in patients treated with and without diuretics

Treatment-emergent	Finerenone	Placebo		Relative risk
hypokalaemia	n (%)	n (%)		(95% CI)
Diuretics				
Yes	64 (1.9)	111 (3.3)	└──◆ ──	0.6 (0.4–0.8)
No	50 (1.6)	74 (2.4)		0.7 (0.5–0.9)
Loop diuretics				
Yes	31 (2.2)	56 (4.0)	·•	0.6 (0.4–0.9)
No	83 (1.6)	129 (2.5)		0.6 (0.5–0.8)
Thiazide diuretics				
Yes	32 (2.0)	49 (3.2)	└──◆	0.6 (0.4–1.0)
No	82 (1.7)	136 (2.7)		0.6 (0.5–0.8)
			0,25 0,50 1,00 2	ר ,00

*AEs were classified as hypokalaemia based on the following SMQ terms: alkalosis hypokalaemic, blood potassium abnormal, blood potassium decreased, electrocardiogram U-wave prominent, hypokalaemia, hypokalaemic syndrome, hypomagnesaemia

SMQ, standardised MedDRA queries

ESC Congress 2023 Amsterdam & Online

Favours finerenone

Favours placebod

Overall TEAEs were similar between treatment arms and between patients treated with and without diuretics

	With diuretics		Without diuretics			With di	diuretics Without diuretic		diuretics
AEs, n (%)	AEs, n (%) Finerenone Placebo Finerenone Placebo (n=3320) (n=3375) (n=3190) (n=3114)	AEs, n (%)	Finerenone (n=3320)	Placebo (n=3375)	Finerenone (n=3190)	Placebo (n=3114			
Any AE	2882 (86.8)	2939 (87.1)	2720 (85.3)	2668 (85.7)	Any hyperkalaemia	457 (13.8)	191 (5.7)	455 (14.3)	257 (8.3)
Any study drug-related AE	653 (19.7)	460 (13.6)	553 (17.3)	402 (12.9)	Any hyperkalaemia leading to	36 (1.1)	6 (0.2)	25 (0.8)	4 (0.1)
Any AE leading to discontinuation	225 (6.8)	185 (5.5)	189 (5.9)	166 (5.3)	hospitalisation Any hyperkalaemia				
Any SAE	1082 (32.6)	1203 (35.6)	978 (30.7)	983 (31.6)	leading to permanent discontinuation	54 (1.6)	20 (0.6)	56 (1.8)	18 (0.6)
Any study drug-related SAE	49 (1.5)	33 (1.0)	34 (1.1)	28 (0.9)	Incidence of hype placebo, irrespect	rkalaemia w tive of diuret	as higher w ic use; how	vith finereno vever, the inc	ne versus cidence o
Any SAE leading to discontinuation	82 (2.5)	75 (2.2)	63 (2.0)	79 (2.5)	hyperkalaemi	a leading to discontinu	hospitalisat ation was lo	tion or perm	anent

AE, adverse event; SAE, serious adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event



Summary

In a patient population with CKD and T2D treated with a maximum tolerated dose of RASi:

Finerenone was

associated with a decreased risk of the composite CV outcome versus placebo, irrespective of diuretic use

Baseline diuretic dose, eGFR and race generally did not modify the composite CV outcome Finerenone consistently reduced the risk of treatment-emergent hypokalaemia versus placebo in patients treated with and without diuretics

The incidence of TEAEs was consistent, regardless of diuretic use

Although the incidence of hyperkalaemia was higher with finerenone versus placebo, irrespective of diuretic use, the incidence of associated hospitalisation or permanent discontinuation was low

A key limitation of the current results is that they are based on a post hoc analysis thus, any reported findings are exploratory



Thank you

48 countries, 33,292 patients enrolled, 13,171 patients randomised

Executive committee

Rajiv Agarwal; Stefan D. Anker; George L. Bakris; Gerasimos Filippatos; Bertram Pitt; Luis M. Ruilope

Independent data monitoring committee

Glenn Chertow; Gerald DiBona; Murray Epstein; Tim Friede; Jose Lopez-Sendon; Aldo Maggioni; Jean Rouleau

Clinical event committee

Rajiv Agarwal; Stefan Anker; Phyllis August; Andrew Coats; Hans Diener; Wolfram Döhner; Barry Greenberg; Stephan von Haehling; James Januzzi; Alan Jardine; Carlos Kase; Sankar Navaneethan; Lauren Phillips; Piotr Ponikowski; Pantelis Sarafidis; Titte Srinivas; Turgut Tatlisumak; John Teerlink

National lead investigators

Sharon Adler; Aslam Amod; Andrés Ángelo Cadena Bonfanti; Ellen Burgess; Michel Burnier; Eugenia F. Canziani; Juliana Chan; Chien-Te Lee; Froilan De Leon; Alexander Dreval; Fernando Teixeira e Costa; Joseph Eustace; Trine Finnes; Linda Fried; Ron Gansevoort; Pieter Gillard; Ehud Grossman; Fernando González; Janusz Gumprecht; Carlos Francisco Jaramillo; Tran Quang Khanh; Sin Gon Kim; Adriaan Kooy; Daisuke Koya; Byung Wan Lee; Zhi-Hong Liu; Richard MacIsaac; Borys Mankovsky; Michel Marre; Kieran McCafferty; Martin Prazny; Giuseppe Remuzzi; László Rosivall; Peter Rossing; Luis Alejandro Nevarez Ruiz; Julio Pascual Santos; Pantelis A. Sarafidis; Ramazan Sari; Guntram Schernthaner; Roland Schmieder; Jorma Strand; Bengt-Olov Tengmark; Maria Theodora Temelkova-Kurktschiev; Sheldon Tobe; Robert Toto; Augusto Vallejos; Anantharaman Vathsala; Takashi Wada; Christoph Wanner; Mark Williams; Yoram Yagil; Sukit Yamwong



The FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD teams would also like to thank all participating investigators, the centres, and the patients and their families